### NOV 1 0 1987

Ms. B. Katherine Biggs
United States Environmental
Protection Agency
Region VII
726 Minnesota Avenue
Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Dear Ms. Biggs:

USEPA COMMENTS ON INTERIM RESPONSE ACTIONS (IRA'S)

Enclosed is our response to the comments contained in your letter of October 8, 1987, regarding the following interim response actions:

- 1. Electric Power Line and Pole Removal
- -2. Overhead Piping/Asbestos Removal
  - 3. Army Reserve Area Vicinity Property No. 7
- 4. Disposal of Containerized Chemicals

We anticipate that this will adequately resolve the issues raised. We intend to proceed with action on these items in accordance with the enclosure.

If you have any questions, please give me a call.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

R. R. Nelson Project Manager Weldon Spring Site Remedial Action Project

Enclosure:
As stated

cc: D. Bedan, MDNR

E. Brown, FLW w/enclosure

FILE NUMBER:

\*\*\*

5.10 PEER: JCoyne:x41:mw:11/8/超点AL fr主记及Com.Ltr.)

CONCURRENCES

RTG SYMBOL

PEER

INITIALS/SIGN

J. COYN

DATE

11/9/8

RTG SYMBOL

R. Not S

DATE

11/9/8

RTG SYMBOL

ATG SYMBOL

INITIALS/SIG.

INITIALS/SIG.

DATE

DATE

RTG SYMBOL

INITIALS/SIG.

DATE

RTG SYMBOL

INITIALS/SIG.

DATE

RTG SYMBOL

INITIALS/SIG.

DATE

RTG SYMBOL

INITIALS/SIG.

147E

# RESPONSES TO USEPA REVIEW COMMENTS ON IRA PACKAGES

## Cleanup of Vicinity Property No. 7, Army Reserve Area

Comment: The proposal to cleanup this vicinity property calls for excavating the contaminated area to a depth of six (6) inches or where the radium concentration is below 15 pCi/g and then backfilling with clean material. The EPA suggests that while its criteria for residual radium in soil is satisfied by this approach, that we consider removing additional soil to reduce the concentration to below 5 pCi/g. As the area is small, little additional excavation would be required.

Response: Subsequent to the preparation of the IRA package for Army Reserve Vicinity Property #7, the Department of Army requested that the area not be backfilled upon completion of the cleanup as proposed by the DOE. The DOE will leave the excavation area open and apply the suface criteria of 5 pCi/g to this particular vicinity property.

## Disposal of Containerized Chemicals

Comment: It is suggested that the specifications for this work might be strengthened by adding waste characterization procedures into Section 2.0 (Scope) of the document. The procedures are those which may be required under 40 CFR 260-268, or others required by the permit held by the Treatment, Storage and/or Disposal Facility.

Response: Procedures required in 40 CFR 260-268 will be referenced in Section 2.0 of the Request for Proposal. In addition, it will be emphasized that all waste characterization procedures which are required by the successful bidder's treatment, storage and/or disposal facilities permit must be satisfied. It will be required that these procedures (if applicable) be presented in the subcontractor's work plan.

Comment: EPA recommends that the specific subcontractor qualifications and experience in handling known and unknown potentially hazardous wastes be defined in the document.

Response: We are in agreement with the EPA that the

Request for Proposal should contain subcontractor

qualifications and experience clauses. The

appropriate clauses will be added to the document.

Comment: The EPA recommends that the specification require

the successful bidder to identify the specific waste disposal facilities which will accept the containerized chemical waste, in the work plan

phase.

Response: The specification will be modified to include

provision for certification by the subcontractor

that the waste disposal facilities meet the

requirements when hazardous wastes are involved. The land disposal ban provision of RCRA will also be addressed as part of the subcontractor's work

plan.

### General

Comment: The EPA review states that there is one(1)

deficiency common to the four proposals and that is that plans for onsite handling and storage of radioactive contaminated materials should be

developed.

Response: Plans for onsite handling and storage of

radioactive contaminated materials are currently

being finalized and will be provided under

separate cover.